UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/563,671	01/06/2006	Daniele Marastoni	024931-00045	1763
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 01/25/2008		EXAMINER .	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			SELLS, JAMES D	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	TON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/563,671	MARASTONI, DANIELE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Sells	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> ·					
a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/6/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

10/563,671 Art Unit: 1791

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Freeman et al (EP 0,281,064).

Regarding <u>claim 1</u>, Freeman discloses a vacuum drum for transferring labels (16). As shown in Fig. 1, the vacuum drum 10 comprising a lateral surface (26) having a plurality of openings (26A-B) communicating with respective suction conduits (30) to maintain a label adhering to said lateral surface (26), characterized in that at least one of the suction conduits (30) is provided with at least an additional opening located in a position that cannot be obstructed by the label adhering to the lateral surface (21) of the roller (1), to maintain said conduit (30) in constant communication with the external environment.

Applicant is also reminded that "[e]xpressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining the patentability of the apparatus claim." *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App 1969). Furthermore, "Inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." *In re Young*, 75 F.2d 966, 25 USPQ

Application/Control Number:

10/563,671 Art Unit: 1791

69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)). In addition, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Regarding <u>claims 2-5 and 7</u>, Fig. 1 of Freeman shows openings 26A-B not engaging labels (16) having the positions claimed by the applicant.

Regarding <u>claim 11</u>, Freeman discloses a vacuum drum for transferring labels (16). As shown in Fig. 1, the vacuum drum or roller (10) for transferring labels of the suction type, comprising a lateral surface (26) having a plurality of openings (26A-B) communicating with respective suction conduits (3) to maintain a label adhering to said lateral surface (26), characterized in that each suction conduit (30) is provided with at least an additional opening located in a position that cannot be obstructed by the label adhering to the lateral surface (26) of the roller (10), to maintain each conduit (30) in constant communication with the external environment.

Applicant is also reminded that "[e]xpressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining the patentability of the apparatus claim." *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App 1969). Furthermore, "Inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." *In re Young*, 75 F.2d 966, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)). In addition, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior

Application/Control Number:

10/563,671 Art Unit: 1791

art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Regarding <u>claim 12</u>, Freeman discloses the vacuum drum is part of a labeling system.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman et al as described above in paragraph 2.

Regarding <u>claim 6</u>, it is the examiner's position that filter systems are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the device of Freeman in order to provide the predictable result of preventing dirt and debris from clogging the vacuum openings.

Regarding <u>claims 8-10</u>, it is the examiner's position that vacuum pumps with associated control valves are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the device of Freeman in order to provide the predictable result of supplying appropriate vacuum suction to the vacuum openings.

10/563,671 Art Unit: 1791

### Claim Objections

5. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

### Telephone/Fax

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is 571-272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Philip Tucker can be reached on 571-272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number:

10/563,671 Art Unit: 1791 Page 6

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James Sells/ James Sells Primary Examiner Technology Center 1700